

A Needs-Driven Approach to Teaching English for Specific Purposes

Cătălin Drăcșineanu

Petre Andrei University, Iași

Abstract

Over the past several decades, the teaching and learning of English have been reshaped by profound transformations in global communication, professional practices, and educational theory. As English has moved beyond its traditional role as a foreign language associated primarily with literary study or cultural prestige, it has become a central medium for academic exchange, professional interaction, and international collaboration. These developments have fundamentally altered the expectations placed on language learners and educators alike, requiring approaches that are increasingly flexible, learner-centered, and sensitive to context. In parallel, advances in applied linguistics and educational psychology have challenged earlier assumptions about how languages are learned, emphasizing the importance of meaning, identity, motivation, and real-world use. Within this evolving landscape, English for Specific Purposes (ESP) has emerged as a particularly relevant and responsive approach, grounded in the systematic analysis of learners' communicative needs and the contexts in which English is actually used. Rather than treating language as an abstract system detached from social practice, ESP views language learning as a purposeful activity shaped by professional, academic, and institutional demands. This paper examines the development of needs-driven approaches to English teaching by tracing changes in learner needs, pedagogical practices, motivational orientations, and future directions in ESP. By integrating insights from established scholarship, it seeks to demonstrate how contemporary English teaching increasingly reflects the complex realities of global communication and specialized language use.

Keywords: ESP, needs-driven approach, cultural context, learning motivation

Change of Needs in Learning English as a Foreign Language

In the early stages of foreign language education, particularly during the first half of the twentieth century, instructional practices were largely shaped by structuralist and formalist views

of language, which emphasized the mastery of grammatical rules and lexical accuracy. Methods such as Grammar-Translation dominated educational contexts, reflecting an understanding of language learning as an intellectual exercise centered on textual analysis and written translation. As Richards and Rodgers (2001) observe, this approach conceptualized language primarily as “a set of rules for putting words together,” with learning objectives focused on reading comprehension and formal correctness, rather than communicative ability.

As international mobility, diplomacy, and economic exchange intensified, these priorities began to shift. The growing need for spoken interaction exposed the limitations of approaches that treated language as an isolated system, rather than a tool for social engagement. Brown (2007) further underlines this conceptual transition by emphasizing that language functions not merely as a collection of rules but as a means of meaningful interaction and social action. This reconceptualization marked a turning point in how learner needs were understood, foregrounding the ability to use language effectively in real-life situations.

The emergence of Communicative Language Teaching further reflected this shift, redefining competence in terms of communicative effectiveness rather than grammatical perfection. Canale and Swain’s (1980) framework expanded the notion of competence to include sociolinguistic appropriateness and strategic flexibility, thereby aligning pedagogical goals with learners’ practical communicative needs. As English increasingly served academic, professional, and interpersonal functions, learners required skills that extended beyond the classroom, a reality that Littlewood (2004) highlights in noting that modern learners must use English to accomplish tasks that mirror authentic communicative demands.

Globalization further accelerated this evolution by transforming English into a lingua franca used predominantly among non-native speakers. As Seidlhofer (2011) explains, English now functions as a contact language among speakers who share neither linguistic nor cultural backgrounds. This shift has reduced the relevance of native-speaker norms and redirected attention toward intelligibility, adaptability, and intercultural awareness. Jenkins (2007) reinforces this perspective by noting that most English interactions today occur between non-native speakers, a fact that has profound implications for curriculum design and instructional priorities. In this context, English learning has become increasingly instrumental, associated with professional

mobility, academic participation, and economic opportunity, as emphasized by Crystal (2003), who describes English as a central resource in global communication and knowledge production.

Technological developments have further reshaped learner needs by expanding access to authentic input and interactive environments. Digital platforms, online communities, and multimodal resources have altered the way in which learners engage with language, encouraging autonomy and personalization. Warschauer and Kern (2000) argue that technology extends the boundaries of the classroom by enabling meaningful interaction beyond physical constraints, while Kessler (2012) highlights the growing importance of navigating multimodal and digitally mediated communicative contexts. Together, these changes illustrate a shift toward a more holistic understanding of learner needs that encompasses linguistic, social, and technological dimensions.

New Developments in Teaching English

Contemporary developments in English language teaching reflect a gradual departure from rigid methodological prescriptions toward more adaptive and context-sensitive pedagogies. Traditional methods, which claimed universal applicability, have increasingly been criticized for their inability to address the diversity of learning contexts and learner profiles. Kumaravadivelu (2006) argues that the notion of a single, optimal method has lost its explanatory power, giving rise to what is often described as post-method pedagogy. This perspective emphasizes responsiveness to local conditions, learner needs, and pedagogical flexibility, allowing teachers to act as reflective practitioners rather than passive implementers of predefined techniques.

Within this broader shift, communicative and task-based approaches have continued to evolve, reinforcing the centrality of meaningful interaction in language learning. By prioritizing tasks that require learners to use language to achieve clear outcomes, task-based instruction aligns pedagogical practice with authentic language use. Ellis (2003) characterizes tasks as activities centered on meaning and goal-oriented communication, a view supported by Long (2015), who underscores the effectiveness of learning through communicative engagement. These approaches reflect an experiential understanding of language acquisition, where interaction serves as both the means and the goal of instruction.

The global role of English has also prompted a reassessment of instructional norms. As English increasingly functions as a global lingua franca, teaching practices have begun to accommodate linguistic diversity and variation. Seidlhofer (2011) notes that English can no longer be associated with a single cultural or linguistic authority, a position echoed by Jenkins (2007), who advocates for pedagogies that prioritize intelligibility and mutual understanding in multilingual settings. This shift has contributed to the expansion of ESP and EAP, as learners seek English proficiency tailored to academic disciplines and professional fields. Hyland (2006) highlights the growing importance of academic English instruction in a context where English dominates scholarly communication worldwide.

Technological innovation has further transformed English teaching by enabling new forms of interaction, assessment, and learner engagement. As Warschauer (2004) observes, digital tools facilitate access to authentic input and collaborative learning environments, while mobile and online platforms support flexible and learner-driven experiences, as noted by Godwin-Jones (2018). These developments have encouraged assessment practices that value performance, collaboration, and process-oriented learning over traditional examinations.

Change in Students' Motivation to Learn a Foreign Language

Research on motivation in foreign language learning has similarly evolved in response to changing social and educational contexts. Early models, particularly those associated with Gardner and Lambert, distinguished between integrative and instrumental motivation, emphasizing learners' attitudes toward the target language community. Gardner (1985) defined motivation as a combination of effort, desire, and positive attitudes toward language learning, a framework that reflected the cultural and educational priorities of its time.

As globalization reshaped the purposes of language learning, motivational orientations shifted accordingly. Rather than aspiring to integrate into a specific linguistic community, learners increasingly viewed foreign languages as tools for global communication, professional advancement, and academic success. Dörnyei (2009) notes that contemporary learners are often motivated by practical benefits rather than cultural assimilation, a perspective reinforced by Crystal's (2003) observation that English has become a foundational skill in global economic and social participation. This pragmatic orientation has led learners to value functional competence over native-like proficiency.

More recent motivational theories have further expanded the concept by incorporating identity and self-related dimensions. Dörnyei's "L2 Motivational Self System" reconceptualizes motivation as a dynamic process driven by learners' visions of their future selves, with motivation arising from the desire to align one's actual abilities with an idealized self-image (Dörnyei, 2005). This approach acknowledges that learners may imagine themselves using a foreign language in diverse international contexts without affiliating with a single cultural model.

The role of identity in motivation is further emphasized by Norton (2013), who argues that learners invest in language learning because of the symbolic and material resources it offers. Technology has amplified these dynamics by making language use more immediate and socially embedded. Warschauer (2000) highlights the capacity of digital environments to foster authentic communication, while Ushioda (2011) cautions that motivation in such contexts must be understood in relation to learners' goals, identities, and learning environments. Together, these perspectives illustrate how motivation has become increasingly fluid, context-dependent, and closely tied to learners' lived experiences.

The Future of Teaching English for Specific Purposes

Looking ahead, the future of ESP teaching will continue to be shaped by its foundational commitment to addressing learners' specific communicative needs. Hutchinson and Waters (1987) emphasize that ESP is defined not by a particular methodology but by its orientation toward clearly articulated learning purposes, a principle that will become even more critical as professional contexts grow increasingly complex and specialized. Basturkmen (2010) notes that contemporary ESP must respond to multifaceted communicative situations in which language use is embedded within professional practice.

The expansion of EAP and EPP reflects the growing demand for discipline-specific literacy and rhetorical competence. As Hyland (2006) points out, English has become central to academic knowledge production, necessitating instructional approaches that address genre conventions and disciplinary discourse. Swales' (1990) conception of genre as a socially situated communicative event provides a valuable framework for understanding how language functions within professional and academic communities.

Technological advances will further influence ESP by enabling corpus-based instruction, personalized learning pathways, and international collaboration. Dudley-Evans and St John (1998) emphasize the evolving role of ESP teachers as analysts, designers, and mediators, a role that will expand as interdisciplinary collaboration becomes increasingly important. Corpus linguistics, as described by Biber, Conrad, and Reppen (1998), offers empirical insights into authentic language use, supporting more targeted and relevant instruction.

Finally, integration of critical and intercultural perspectives will remain essential. Jenkins (2007) and Pennycook (1999) both argue for approaches that recognize linguistic diversity and address the social and political dimensions of language use. By fostering intercultural communicative competence and critical awareness, future ESP instruction can empower learners to participate effectively and ethically in global professional communities.

Conclusion

The evolution of English language teaching, as outlined in this article, reflects broader social, technological, and economic transformations that have reshaped both the purposes of language learning and the conditions under which it takes place. The shift from form-focused, decontextualized instruction toward communicative, needs-driven, and learner-centered approaches demonstrates an increasing recognition of language as social action rather than a purely structural system. In this context, English for Specific Purposes has emerged not merely as a pedagogical option but as a necessary response to the growing specialization of academic and professional communication in a globalized world.

Changes in learner needs and motivational orientations further underscore the relevance of ESP. As English functions predominantly as a lingua franca, learners are motivated less by aspirations of native-speaker competence and cultural assimilation and more by the desire to participate effectively in international academic, professional, and digital communities. Contemporary motivational theories, which foreground identity, future self-concepts, and investment, provide a more nuanced understanding of why learners engage with English and how instruction can support sustained motivation. These perspectives highlight the importance of aligning pedagogical practices with learners' personal, academic, and professional trajectories.

Looking to the future, the continued development of ESP will depend on its ability to remain flexible, empirically grounded, and critically informed. Advances in technology, corpus linguistics, and interdisciplinary collaboration offer powerful tools for designing more authentic, relevant, and personalized learning experiences. At the same time, the global spread of English demands a critical awareness of linguistic diversity, power relations, and intercultural communication. By maintaining its commitment to needs analysis, contextual relevance, and ethical engagement, ESP is well positioned to address the complex communicative challenges of contemporary and future learners, ensuring that English teaching remains both socially responsive and pedagogically effective.

References

- Basturkmen, H. (2010). *Developing courses in English for specific purposes*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Reppen, R. (1998). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching*. Pearson Longman.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2005). *The psychology of the language learner*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2009). *The L2 motivational self-system*. In Z. Dörnyei & E. Ushioda (Eds.), *Motivation, language identity and the L2 self*.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for specific purposes*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2018). Emerging technologies: Mobile-assisted language learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 22(3), 1–15.
- Hyland, K. (2006). *English for academic purposes*. Routledge.

- Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1987). *English for specific purposes: A learning-centred approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jenkins, J. (2007). *English as a lingua franca: Attitude and identity*. Oxford University Press.
- Kessler, G. (2012). Student- and teacher-generated digital video projects in L2 classrooms. *Language Learning & Technology*, 16(3), 1–15.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2001). Toward a post-method pedagogy. *TESOL Quarterly*, 35(4), 537–560.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2006). *Understanding language teaching*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Littlewood, W. (2004). The task-based approach: Some questions and suggestions. *ELT Journal*, 58(4), 319–326.
- Long, M. (2015). *Second language acquisition and task-based language teaching*. Wiley Blackwell.
- Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and language learning*. Multilingual Matters.
- Pennycook, A. (1999). Critical approaches to TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 33(3), 329–348.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press, p. 3.
- Seidlhofer, B. (2011). *Understanding English as a lingua franca*. Oxford University Press.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ushioda, E. (2011). Language learning motivation in a digital age. *Language Learning & Technology*, 15(3), 199–211.
- Warschauer, M. (2000). *Network-based language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Warschauer, M. (2004). Technological change and the future of CALL. *CALICO Journal*, 21(3), 577–593.